

REVICC Magazine Code of Ethics

Revista científica de investigación para todas las ciencias adheres to the guidelines of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) established in 1997, and available at: <http://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines>

1. commitments of the authors

- **Prerequisites.** Before submitting articles to REVICC, authors must declare that they have read and understood the requirements for submission set forth in this section, that they agree with the procedures for article selection adopted by the journal, and that the texts submitted conform to REVICC's publication guidelines.
 - **Multiple and/or repetitive publications.** Authors should refrain from simultaneous/multiple submission of their articles to different publications or publishers, as there is a possibility that the same text may be published more than once. This is not an ethical or acceptable professional practice. Originalidad y plagio.
 - Texts submitted to the journal must be original and unpublished, i.e., they cannot have been previously published in part or in whole in Spanish or in another language. Authors should avoid duplicate publication, which occurs when two or more articles by the same author(s), without cross-referencing each other, essentially share the same hypotheses, data, discussion points and/or conclusions either literally or through paraphrasing. REVICC uses Crossref's Ithenticate and Turnitin as the main anti-plagiarism programs.
 - Translated with www.DeepL.com/Translator (free version) Fragmentation. Authors should avoid fragmentation of a study, which consists of dividing or segmenting a large study into two or more publications, such that these segments of the study share the same hypotheses, population, and methods.
- Sources.** Authors should acknowledge the original sources of the materials used in the preparation of their articles in order to avoid plagiarism. This practice of intentionally omitting authorship of fragments or the entirety of a work may take different forms: (a) verbatim copying, when reproducing a work word for word, in whole or in part, without permission and mention of the original source; (b) substantial copying, related to research materials, processes, tables or equipment; (c) paraphrasing when reproducing ideas from another person but without copying them word for word, without permission or indication of the original source; and (d) text recycling, when reproducing parts of one's own work and resubmitting it for publication as a completely new article.
- Translated with www.DeepL.com/Translator (free version) Propiedad intelectual. Authors must respect the intellectual property rights of third parties if the materials used in the development of the article are not their property, therefore, they must have the necessary authorizations for the reproduction of photographs, illustrations, graphs, charts, maps, diagrams, among others. Authors should avoid fraudulent conduct in the research and publication process, which occurs when data or conclusions are published that were not generated by experiments or observations, but by invention/fabrication or falsification/manipulation, including the modification or omission of crucial data or results.

- **Author.** There are two main criteria for recognition as an author: a) having made a substantial contribution to the conception and design of the research, to the acquisition of data, or to the analysis and interpretation of the study; b) having written the draft or reviewed the intellectual content of the article. Authors are ranked according to their level of responsibility and involvement in the submitted manuscript.
- Persons who participated in a research study, but do not fit at least one of these criteria, should be listed as collaborators or under a subheading of acknowledgements (i.e., in the case of research, academic supervision, institutional or financial support). There are three unacceptable types of authorship: (a) falsification, which consists of including names of people who have participated little or not at all in the research, omitting names of people who did participate, and includes the submission of a manuscript without the permission of one of the authors; (b) ghost authorship, refers to the role of professional writers that is not acknowledged and includes contributions not attributed to those performing data analysis; (c) honorary authorship, is based on a tenuous affiliation with the study or solely on the expectation that the inclusion of that name would improve the chances of publication of a text
- **Errors in published articles.** When an author identifies an important error or inaccuracy in his/her article, he/she should immediately inform the journal's editorial team and provide all the necessary information to make the pertinent corrections, whose adjustments will be made as soon as possible in the electronic version of the journal and through an erratum in the printed version.
- **Liability.** Responsibility. All authors accept responsibility for what has been written. The authors also undertake that a review of the most current and relevant scientific literature on the subject analyzed has been carried out, taking into account the different currents of knowledge in a pluralistic manner.

2. Commitments of peer reviewers and evaluators

- **Contribución a la decisión editorial.** Contribution to the editorial decision. Peer review is a procedure that helps editors to make decisions on proposed articles and also allows the author to improve the contribution submitted for publication. The reviewers assume the commitment to perform a critical, honest, constructive and unbiased review of both the scientific quality and the literary quality of the writing in the field of their knowledge and skills
- **Respeto de los tiempos.** The reviewer who does not feel competent in the subject matter to be reviewed or who is unable to complete the evaluation within the scheduled time will immediately notify the editors. Reviewers must commit to submitting the evaluations within the agreed deadlines, or in the shortest possible time.
- **Confidentiality.** The journal uses a peer review process based on double anonymity, i.e., neither the authors know the identity of their reviewers nor the reviewers know the identity of the authors. In addition, the document cannot be shared with third parties without the knowledge and authorization of the editors..
- **Objetividad.** When an evaluator or reviewer has any opinion or interest of a personal or financial nature that could affect his or her objectivity or influence inappropriately on his or

her evaluation, you should refrain from participating in the editorial process.

- **Contribution to the editorial decisión.** Contribution to the editorial decision. Evaluators must respond to the article they have agreed to evaluate, adjusting to the requirements established in the form provided by the journal. Define whether an article is publishable or unpublishable, or if it requires modifications for its publication to be approved. Provide the authors with recommendations that will allow them to improve their articles if necessary, for this reason we ask the evaluators to be specific with respect to their observations and comments.

- **Conflict of interest.** Peer reviewers and evaluators must disclose any conflicts of interest or disqualifications for the evaluation, even if the reviewer or evaluator considers that they do not affect his or her judgment.

- **Suspected ethical violations.** If the reviewer finds any irregularity in the evaluated article, he/she should report it to the editor of the journal. For example: if he/she finds too many coincidences between the manuscript and another text published in another journal or book, lack of reference to original sources, plagiarism or self-plagiarism. The reviewer should avoid further investigation of the ethical violation on his/her own, unless the journal requests additional information or advice.

3. Commitments of the editors

- **Publication decision.** The REVICC editorial team guarantees transparency in the evaluation and publication processes, and is therefore responsible for the management of all articles received by the journal until their acceptance or rejection, as well as for the implementation of mechanisms that ensure anonymity throughout the editorial process. Based on peer review, the journal's editorial team is responsible for the final decision to publish or reject an article. This decision seeks to select the best articles in terms of their relevance, originality and contributions to knowledge. REVICC chooses to select between 2 and 4 reviewers for each paper in order to ensure greater objectivity in the review process.

- **Honesty.** The editors evaluate the articles submitted for publication only on the basis of the scientific merit of the contents, without discrimination of race, gender, sexual orientation, religion, ethnic origin, nationality, political opinion of the authors.

- **Confidentiality.** The editors and members of the working group undertake not to disclose information concerning articles submitted for publication to persons other than authors, reviewers and editors. The editors and the Editorial Committee are committed to the confidentiality of the manuscripts, their authors and reviewers, so that anonymity preserves the intellectual integrity of the entire process.

- **Conflict of interest and disclosure.** The editors undertake not to use in their research the contents of the articles submitted for publication without the written consent of the author.

- **Responsibility.** The editorial team of Retos will always inform the authors of the reasons or justifications for the acceptance or rejection of an article based on the above criteria. Communications, questions, clarifications requested by authors, evaluators, reviewers, or any person interested in the journal will be answered clearly and promptly. If a lack of accuracy is recognized in any content disseminated, the corresponding rectifications, corrections or clarifications will be published on the journal's website. Dossier editors are

subject to the ethical practices of the journal, respecting at all times the procedures established in REVICC, as well as the guidelines provided by the editorial team.

- **Respect for time limits.** Editors are ultimately responsible for compliance with the time limits for reviews and publication of accepted papers, to ensure rapid dissemination of their results. They undertake to comply with the published times (maximum 30 days in the estimation/rejection from the receipt of the manuscript in the Review Platform) and maximum 120 days from the beginning of the scientific peer review process).
- **Dissemination.** Once an issue has been published, the editorial team of Retos is responsible for achieving the widest possible dissemination of its contents through its submission to national and international repositories, databases, information and indexing systems; the publication of a new issue and its presentation.

4. Complaint handling process

- Complaints from authors will be received as long as they are well-founded, which will be dealt with as far as possible following the guidelines and diagrams established by COPE, as well as the internal rules of the journal. In no case will the complaint resolution process involve revealing the identity of reviewers.
- Any complaint about an evaluation process will be dealt with as long as the author identifies the possible errors in the review performed. At no time will the competence of the reviewer be questioned. Complaints will be addressed to the editor, with the respective argumentation and evidence to consider the case. If necessary, the support of the Editorial Committee or one of its members who is an expert in the subject of the article in question will be requested. A response to the complaint will be given within a period of up to three months.
- If a report of plagiarism is received after the publication of an article in REVICC, and this is verified by the Editorial Board of the journal, the manuscript will be removed from the web page of the published issue and from all repositories and databases in which the aforementioned text is included plagiarized.

5. Others

- "REVICC" is an open access journal entirely free for readers that favors the reuse and self-archiving of its articles in international databases, repositories, directories and information systems. "REVICC" does not charge for access to its content. In this sense, "REVICC" is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License and is included in the DOAJ Open Access directory. The journal only retains the publication rights of the works, both the printed and digital versions.

Copyright: The works published in "REVICC" Magazine are subject to the following terms:

1.1. The REVICC journal, sponsored by the CEO publishing house and the REDICME Research Network, retains the copyright of the published works, and favors and allows their reuse under the Creative Commons 4.0 Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 license, so they can be copied, used, disseminated, transmitted and publicly exhibited, provided that

1.1.a. Cite the authorship and original source of publication (journal, publisher, URL and

DOI of the work

1.1.b. The existence and specifications of this license of use are mentioned.

1.2. The publication will give each article a Digital Object Identifier (DOI). Example:

Open Access Policy: "REVICC is an Open Access journal, available in Open Access without time restrictions, and is included in the Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ).

Self-archiving conditions: Authors are allowed to reuse published papers, i.e., the post-print (or the final version after peer review or the publisher's PDF version) may be archived for non-commercial purposes, including deposit in institutional repositories, subject repositories, or personal web pages. Sherpa/Romeo color: Blue.

Readers' rights: Readers have the right to read all our articles free of charge immediately after publication. This publication does not make any economic charge for the publication or for the access to its material.

Automatic publication: "REVICC" makes its articles available in reliable third party repositories (e.g. Redalyc, Latindex) immediately after publication.

Archiving: This journal uses different national and international repositories where the publication is hosted, such as Redalyc, Latindex, Dialnet, REDIB... The Portico repository digitally archives and ensures indexing.

Machine readability and interoperability: The full text, metadata and citations of articles can be tracked and accessed with permission. Our open social policy also allows the readability of the archives and their metadata, enabling interoperability under the open data and open source OAI-PMH protocol. The files, both of the complete publications and their segmentation by articles, are available in open HTML and XML formats, but also in PDF, E-Pub and ISSUU, which facilitates their reading on any device and computer platform.